

APR 27 2004

OFFICIAL

#12
5/12/04
AW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Applicant: Preisig)	Art Unit: 2177
)	
Serial No.: 09/871,475)	Examiner: Le
)	
Filed: May 31, 2001)	SVL9-2001-0020-US1
)	
For: SYSTEM, METHOD, AND COMPUTER)	April 27, 2004
PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR REFORMATTING)	750 B STREET, Suite 3120
NON-XML DATA FOR USE WITH INTERNET)	San Diego, CA 92101
BASED SYSTEMS)	

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated April 21, 2004, rejecting Claims 1-6, 8-21, 23-28, and 30-32 under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Sarkar, USPN 6,418,448 and rejecting Claims 7, 22, and 29 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being obvious over Sarkar in view of Barrick, Jr. et al., USPN 6,625,647, the following remarks are submitted.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-6, 8-21, 23-28, and 30-32 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Sarkar. The principal allegation at issue is that Sarkar teaches middleware that has a file with a parameterized SQL statement that can be used to satisfy client requests for data from a server, relying on

1176-2.AM2